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#### Abstract

Electrical conduction is studied along parabolically confined quasi-onedimensional channels, in the framework of linear-response theory, for elastic scattering. For zero magnetic field an explicit multichannel expression for the conductance is obtained that agrees with those in the literature. A similar but new multichannel expression is obtained in the presence of a magnetic field $\vec{B} \| \hat{z}$ perpendicular to the channel along the $x$-axis. An explicit connection is made between the characteristic time for the tunnel-scattering process and the transmission and reflection coefficients that appear in either expression. For uncoupled channels a Landauer-type expression is obtained that tends to a conductance of $N$ parallel channels. In addition, this expression accounts explicitly for the Hall field and the confining potential, and is valid, with slight modifications, for tilted magnetic fields in the $(x, z)$ plane.


## 1. Introduction

The observation of the conductance quantization [1] more than a decade brought new attention to Landauer's formula [2] for the conductance of single-channel one-dimensional electronic systems and to its multichannel version derived in [3] from arguments similar to those used by Landauer. The single-channel formula [4] and a modified version of it [5] have been derived from linear-response theory. Slight variations between different results were a source of discussion [6], and crucial importance was given to the conditions of measurement. It was established that four-probe measurements do not give the same answer as two-probe measurements [7]. For a review of the subject we refer the reader to [7] and [8].

The conductance has also been studied in the presence of a magnetic field. The two-probe formula and its generalization have been found to hold. It was derived again using linearresponse theory [9]. Onsager's relation, describing the symmetry of the conductance upon


Figure 1. A quasi-one-dimensional conductor, connected to left (L) and right (R) reservoirs in the presence of crossed electric and magnetic fields. The length of the conductor is $L$. The solid dots represent random scattering centres.
changing the direction of the magnetic field, was verified. For the four-probe measurement it was realized [10] and confirmed theoretically [11] and experimentally [12] that the conductance can be asymmetric under reversal of the magnetic field.

As noted by the authors of [3] their multichannel formula does not reduce, for uncoupled channels, to that of [2]. This drawback results from their assumption that all channels originating from the reservoirs have the same electrochemical potential regardless of their velocities. In a recent PhD thesis [13], completed under the direction of one of us (CMVV), a multichannel formula, free from this drawback, was derived for zero magnetic field.

In this work, following [13], we derive a rigorous multichannel conductance formula in the presence of a magnetic field from linear-response theory. As in almost all works in the literature, it is valid for elastic scattering, i.e., in mesoscopic conductors. The formulation shows explicitly the cancellation in the product of the velocity with the quasi-one-dimensional density of states in the current carried by a channel or mode and therefore reflects some of the intuition of the original work [3]. The formula is made very explicit for parabolically confined quasi-one-dimensional channels. This type of confinement allows us to easily include the Hall field which simulates the electron-electron interaction in a mean-field sense [14]. We also consider the case of tilted magnetic fields.

In section 2 we present a general formula for the conductivity and give the related oneelectron characteristics. In section 3 we evaluate the conductance using a scattering formulation and present various limits. Finally in section 4 we present a discussion of the results.

## 2. Expression for the conductivity

### 2.1. Linear-response expressions

In order to explain our approach we first present some general results from [13] and [15] which will be used to derive a general expression for the magnetoconductance. The model of the conductor or sample that we use is illustrated in figure 1. It consists of two perfect leads (reservoirs) with random scattering centres in the middle. The longitudinal electric field representing the potential difference is applied in the inhomogeneous part. A magnetic field $B$ is applied along the $z$-axis $(\vec{B}=-B \hat{z})$.

The many-body Hamiltonian that enters vol Neumann's equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{tot}}(t)=H_{0}+W(t)+H^{I} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H^{I}$ represents the scattering or perturbation and $W(t)$ the external force. The freeelectron part $H_{0}$ will be specified later for the geometry of figure 1. For elastic scattering the equation for the many-body density operator can be transformed to a similar one for the
one-body density operator $\rho(t)$. The latter is the sum of the unperturbed, Fermi-Dirac operator $f(h)$ and the perturbation operator $\tilde{\rho}(t)$, i.e., $\rho(t)=f(h)+\tilde{\rho}(t)$. For linear responses and with the initial condition $\tilde{\rho}(0)=0$ the equation for $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\partial \tilde{\rho}(t) / \partial t)+\mathrm{i} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \tilde{\rho}(t)=-(\mathrm{i} / \hbar)[\tilde{w}(t), f(h)] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \bullet \equiv(1 / \hbar)[h(t), \bullet]$ and $\bullet$ stands for an arbitrary one-body operator. The solution is found using the resolvent of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, i.e., the Laplace transform of equation (2). In the Laplace domain equation (2) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}(s)=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \frac{1}{s+\mathrm{i} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}}[\tilde{w}(s), f(h)] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a representation in which $H_{0}$ is diagonal, so is its one-body counterpart $h_{0}$. In this representation the operator $\tilde{\rho}$ has a diagonal $\left(\tilde{\rho}_{d}\right)$ and a nondiagonal ( $\left.\tilde{\rho}_{n d}\right)$ part, $\tilde{\rho}=\tilde{\rho}_{d}+\tilde{\rho}_{n d}$. Substituting this in equation (3) and acting on it with diagonal $(\mathcal{P})$ and nondiagonal $(1-\mathcal{P})$ projection superoperators leads to two coupled equations, one for $\tilde{\rho}_{d}$ and one for $\tilde{\rho}_{n d}$. The steady-state solution of these equations is represented by the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$. In the Laplace domain this is equivalent to the limit $s \rightarrow 0+$.

The result obtained for the diagonal part $\tilde{\rho}_{d}$ of the density operator, the only one pertinent to the conductance, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}_{d}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} \Gamma \sum_{\alpha \beta}[w, f(h)]_{\alpha \beta}\left|\psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{\beta}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\Gamma$ are superoperators associated with the transitions caused by the perturbation $h^{I}$. They are given by $\tilde{\Lambda}=\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}^{1}\left[1 /\left(\mathrm{i} \mathcal{L}+0^{+}\right)\right] \mathcal{L}^{1}$ and $\Gamma=\mathcal{P}\left[1-\mathcal{L}^{1}\left[1 /\left(\mathrm{i} \mathcal{L}+0^{+}\right)\right] \mathcal{L}^{1}\right]$ with $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{1}$ defined by $\mathcal{L} \bullet \equiv[H, \bullet] / \hbar$ and $\mathcal{L}^{1} \bullet \equiv[V, \bullet] / \hbar . \tilde{\Lambda}$ is the one-particle scattering operator. The one-body analogue $w$ of $W$ is related to the electric field by $e \boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r})=-\nabla w(\boldsymbol{r})$. Further, $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle$ are the eigenstates of $h=h_{0}+h^{I}$, i.e., $h\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=E_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle$. The operator $\Gamma$ does not affect the sum and the number $[w, f(h)]_{\alpha \beta}$. Using the relation $\Gamma\left|\psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{\beta}\right|=\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle\varphi_{\beta}\right| \delta_{\alpha \beta}$, where $\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right\rangle$ is the eigenstate of $h_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi_{\alpha}\right|[w, f(h)]\left|\psi_{\beta}\right\rangle=-\mathrm{i} \hbar \frac{f\left(\epsilon_{\beta}\right)-f\left(\epsilon_{\alpha}\right)}{\epsilon_{\beta}-\epsilon_{\alpha}} \int_{V_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} E\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\left\langle\psi_{\alpha}\right| j\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\left|\psi_{\beta}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(h) \psi_{i}=f\left(\epsilon_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi_{\theta}\right| \tilde{\rho}_{d}\left|\varphi_{\gamma}\right\rangle=-\sum_{\alpha \beta}\left\langle\varphi_{\theta}\right| \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}\left|\varphi_{\alpha}\right\rangle \delta_{\beta \gamma} f^{\prime}\left(\epsilon_{\alpha}\right) \delta_{\alpha \beta} \int_{V_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\left\langle\psi_{\alpha}\right| j\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\left|\psi_{\beta}\right\rangle E\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is the volume. The current density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\boldsymbol{r})=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{j(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\rho}_{d}\right\}=\sum_{\gamma \theta}\left\langle\varphi_{\gamma}\right| j(\boldsymbol{r})\left|\varphi_{\theta}\right\rangle\left\langle\varphi_{\theta}\right| \tilde{\rho}_{d}\left|\varphi_{\gamma}\right\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations (6) into (7) and comparing the result with the general expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\boldsymbol{r})=\int_{V_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \sigma\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) E\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find the following expression for the conductivity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sigma}_{d}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=-\sum_{\gamma \theta} j_{\gamma \theta}(\boldsymbol{r})\left\langle\varphi_{\theta}\right| \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}\left|\varphi_{\gamma}\right\rangle f^{\prime}\left(\epsilon_{\gamma}\right)\left\langle\psi_{\gamma}\right| j\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\left|\psi_{\gamma}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left-right arrow indicates that $\sigma\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)$ is a tensor. The conductance $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\int_{A} \int_{A^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} A \cdot \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sigma}_{d}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d} A^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are two suitably chosen surfaces.

### 2.2. One-electron characteristics

Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues. We consider an electron gas which interacts only with impurities. As shown in figure 1, a magnetic field $B=-B \hat{z}$ is applied along the $z$-axis. When an electric field $\vec{E}_{x}$ is applied, the resulting Hall field $E_{\perp}$ is opposite to the $y$-axis. We consider a parabolic confining potential along the $y$-axis, $V_{y}=m \Omega^{2} y^{2} / 2$, and choose the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}=B y \hat{x}$. Including the field $E_{\perp}[16]$ in the one-electron Hamiltonian $h_{0}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}=\frac{1}{2 m}(\vec{P}-q \boldsymbol{A})^{2}-q E_{\perp} y+\frac{1}{2} m \Omega^{2} y^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We attempt a solution of equation (11) in the form $\varphi(x, y)=\chi(y) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} k_{x} x\right)$ and introduce the variable $\xi=\hbar k_{x} / q B+q E_{\perp} / m \omega_{c}^{2}$, where $\omega_{c}=q B / m$ is the cyclotron frequency. Using $\omega_{T}^{2}=\omega_{c}^{2}+\Omega^{2}, h_{0} \varphi(x, y)=\epsilon \varphi(x, y)$, and completing the square we can rewrite equation (11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m \omega_{T}^{2}}{2}\left(y-\frac{\omega_{c}^{2}}{\omega_{T}^{2}} \xi\right)^{2}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \chi^{\prime \prime}(y)=E \chi(y) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E=\epsilon-E(k)$. With $\zeta=\left[y-\left(\omega_{c}^{2} / \omega_{T}^{2}\right) \xi\right]\left(m \omega_{T} / \hbar\right)^{1 / 2}$ the solution of equation (12) is $\chi_{n}(\zeta)=\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta^{2} / 2} H_{n}(\zeta)$, where $H_{n}(\zeta)$ are the Hermite polynomials. The corresponding eigenvalues $\epsilon=E+E(k) \equiv \epsilon\left(k_{x}, n\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon\left(k_{x}, n\right)=(n+1 / 2) \hbar \omega_{T}+\left(\hbar^{2} k_{x}^{2} \Omega^{2}-2 \omega_{C} \hbar k_{x} q E_{\perp}-q^{2} E_{\perp}^{2}\right) / 2 m \omega_{T}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the Landau level index. From this expression we obtain the velocity $\boldsymbol{v}=\nabla_{k} \epsilon(\boldsymbol{k}) / \hbar$ along the direction of propagation. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=\left(\hbar k_{x} \Omega^{2}-\omega_{c} q E_{\perp}\right) / m \omega_{T}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Current density. The current-density operator is expressed in terms of the one-particle eigenfunctions in matrix form. From quantum field theory [15], $j=(\hbar / \mathrm{i}) \int \Psi^{*} v \Psi \mathrm{~d}^{3} r$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{j}_{\beta \alpha}=\frac{-\mathrm{i} q \hbar}{2 m}\left[\varphi_{\beta}^{*}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\alpha}\right)-\left(\nabla \varphi_{\beta}^{*}\right) \varphi_{\alpha}\right]-\frac{q}{m} \boldsymbol{A} \varphi_{\beta}^{*} \varphi_{\alpha} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term in the square brackets represents the standard ponderomotive or diffusion current and the term $\propto \boldsymbol{A}$ a deflection due to the magnetic field. We rewrite equation (15) in terms of the gauge-invariant derivative [9] $\boldsymbol{D}=\boldsymbol{\nabla}-\mathrm{i} q \boldsymbol{A} / m$ in the form $f \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} g=f \nabla g-g \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{*} f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\beta \alpha}=\frac{-\mathrm{i} q \hbar}{2 m} \varphi_{\beta}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \varphi_{\alpha} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current density in the $x$-direction depends on the $y$-position. It vanishes along the $y$-direction due to the parabolic potential confinement.

Equation (16) leads to some useful properties of the current density expressed in terms of the relevant eigenvalues. For different eigenvalues one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \boldsymbol{j}_{\beta \alpha}=\frac{\mathrm{i} q}{\hbar}\left(\epsilon_{\alpha}-\epsilon_{\beta}\right) \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta}^{*} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for eigenfunctions of the same energy the following properties hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathrm{d} y \bar{\varphi}_{ \pm \beta}^{*}(\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \cdot x) \bar{\varphi}_{ \pm \alpha}=\frac{ \pm 2 m \mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \quad \epsilon_{\beta}=\epsilon_{\alpha}  \tag{18}\\
& \int \mathrm{d} y \bar{\varphi}_{\mp \beta}^{*}(\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) \bar{\varphi}_{ \pm \alpha}=0 \quad \epsilon_{\beta}=\epsilon_{\alpha} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

if the current flux is normalized instead of the eigenfunctions as shown in [9]. The new normalized eigenfunction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}_{ \pm, a}=\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \mathrm{i} k_{x_{a}} x}{\overline{\chi_{n a}}{ }_{n_{a} \pm k_{x_{a}}}(y) / \sqrt{\theta_{a}} . . . .} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization $\left(\int \bar{\chi}^{2} \mathrm{~d} y=1\right)$ constant $\theta$ has the units of velocity; it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{ \pm a}=\left[\hbar\left|k_{a}\right| \Omega^{2} \mp q \omega_{c} E_{\perp}\right] / m \omega_{T}^{2}=v_{ \pm a} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice the difference between $v_{ \pm a}$, always positive, cf equation (13), and the velocity given by equation (14).

Conductivity. In terms of the eigenfunctions (12) the conductivity reads [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sigma}_{d}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=-\int f^{\prime}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right) \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sigma}_{d}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \epsilon_{p} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sigma}_{d}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{s} \delta\left(\epsilon_{p}-\epsilon_{s}\right)\left(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} j(\boldsymbol{r})\right)_{s s} j\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)_{S S} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f^{\prime}\left(\epsilon_{s}\right)$ is the derivative of the Fermi-Dirac function, $s \equiv\left\{n, k_{x}\right\}, \varphi_{s}$ are the unperturbed states, and $\psi_{S}$ the scattering states. We have also used the notation $\left\langle\varphi_{s}\right| X\left|\varphi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle=X_{s s^{\prime}}$ and $\left\langle\psi_{S}\right| X\left|\psi_{S^{\prime}}\right\rangle=X_{S S^{\prime}}$ for the matrix elements of $X$. The Dirac $\delta$-function is rewritten in terms of $k_{x}$ using the property

$$
\delta\left(g\left(k_{x}\right)\right)=\sum_{i} \frac{\delta\left(k_{x}-k_{x_{i}}\right)}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{x_{i}}\right)\right|}
$$

where $g^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $g\left(k_{x}\right)$ and $k_{n \pm}$ are the roots of $g\left(k_{x}\right)=0$ written explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hbar^{2} \Omega^{2} k_{x}^{2}-2 \omega_{c} \hbar q E_{\perp} k_{x}-q^{2} E_{\perp}^{2}\right] / 2 m \omega_{T}^{2}+(n+1 / 2) \hbar \omega_{T}-\epsilon_{p}=0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The roots $k_{n \pm}$ of this quadratic equation are of the form $k_{n \pm}=\left[-b \pm\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)^{1 / 2}\right] / 2 a$. They are real-and opposite to each other-if $c$ is negative. If this condition holds the wavefunctions can propagate in different channels. For complex roots, the wavefunctions have negative exponentials and their amplitude decreases with propagation. These two roots are opposite to each other if $c$ is negative. The propagation modes depend on confinement, magnetic field, Landau-level index and electric field. For a given energy $g^{\prime}\left(k_{n \pm}\right)=\left(\hbar^{2} \Omega^{2} k_{n \pm}-\omega_{c} \hbar q E_{\perp}\right) / m \omega_{T}^{2}$ and the replacement of the sum over $k_{x}$ by an integral:

$$
\sum_{k_{x}} \rightarrow \frac{L}{2 \pi} \int_{-L / 2}^{L / 2} \mathrm{~d} k_{x}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sigma}_{d}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{n}^{\epsilon_{p}} \frac{L}{2 \pi} \int_{-L / 2}^{L / 2} \mathrm{~d} k_{x}\left[\frac{\delta\left(k_{x}-k_{n+}\right)}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{+}\right)\right|}+\frac{\delta\left(k_{x}-k_{n-}\right)}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{-}\right)\right|}\right]\left(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} j(\boldsymbol{r})\right)_{s s} j\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)_{S S} \\
& =\frac{L}{2 \pi} \sum_{n_{s}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left[M_{k_{n+}}+M_{k_{n-}}\right] \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k_{n \pm}}=\frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n \pm}\right)\right|} j\left(r^{\prime}\right)_{S \pm S \pm}\left(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} j(r)\right)_{s \pm s \pm} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $s \pm$ or $S \pm$ indicates that only the values $k_{n \pm}$ are involved in the relevant $X_{s s^{\prime}}$ or $X_{S S^{\prime}}$ matrix element.

## 3. New conductance expression in terms of transmission and reflection coefficients

### 3.1. Scattering formulation

For clarity, the two roots $k_{n \pm}$ are assumed to be in opposite directions. This holds if $a c$ is negative and it is the case when the Hall field is neglected. Then equations (10) and (23) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)=\frac{L}{2 \pi} \sum_{n}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left(N_{k_{n+}}+N_{k_{n-}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{n \pm}}=\frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n \pm}\right)\right|} \int \mathrm{d} A^{\prime} j\left(r^{\prime}\right)_{S \pm S \pm} \int \mathrm{d} A\left(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} j(r)\right)_{s \pm s \pm} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now proceed with the evaluation of these two integrals that are related to transmission and reflection coefficients. We can carry out the integrations by choosing two surfaces $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ in an asymptotic region. The choice of surface is arbitrary. It is not necessary to know the exact scattering states. It is sufficient to have their asymptotic expression in a region away from the scattering centres. The scattering states are represented by a linear combination of eigenfunctions of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. The results for the various regions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\psi}_{n+}=\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L}(\epsilon) \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}+}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad x \gg L_{s}  \tag{29}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{n+}=\bar{\varphi}_{n+}(\boldsymbol{r})+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime}}^{L}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}-}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad x \ll 0  \tag{30}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{n-}=\bar{\varphi}_{n-}(\boldsymbol{r})+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}+}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad x \gg L_{s}  \tag{31}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{n-}=\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} t_{n n^{\prime}}^{R}\left(\epsilon_{p}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}-}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad x \ll 0 . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the normalization of the flux, the current density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{j}_{\beta \alpha}=\sqrt{v_{\beta} v_{\alpha}} \lambda \bar{\psi}_{\beta}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=-\mathrm{i} q \hbar / 2 m L$. Specifically for the different regions we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{\bar{\psi}_{n+}}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\lambda v_{n+} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} \sum_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L *} t_{n n^{\prime \prime}}^{L} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}+} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}+} \quad x \gg L_{s}  \tag{34}\\
& j_{\bar{\psi}_{n+}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda v_{n+}\left\{\bar{\varphi}_{n+}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n+}+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime}}^{L *} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}-}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}+}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime \prime}}^{L} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}+}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}-}+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} \sum_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime}}^{L *} r_{n n^{\prime \prime}}^{L} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}-}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}-}\right\} \quad x \ll 0  \tag{35}\\
& j_{\bar{\psi}_{n-}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda v_{n-}\left\{\bar{\varphi}_{n-}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n-}+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R *} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}+}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}-}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime \prime}}^{R} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}-}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}+}+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} \sum_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R *} r_{n n^{\prime \prime}}^{R} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}+}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}+}\right\} \quad x \gg L_{s}  \tag{36}\\
& j_{\bar{\psi}_{n-}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda v_{n-} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} \sum_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} t_{n n^{\prime}}^{R *} t_{n n^{\prime \prime}}^{R} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime}-}^{*} \bar{\varphi}_{n^{\prime \prime}-} \quad x \ll 0 . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluation of the first integral. Using equations (18) and (19) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int j_{\bar{\psi}_{n+}}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} A^{\prime}=\frac{q v_{n+}}{L} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L}\right|^{2} \quad x \gg L_{s}  \tag{38}\\
& \int j_{\bar{\psi}_{n+}}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} A^{\prime}=\frac{q v_{n+}}{L}\left\{1-\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{L}\right|^{2}\right\} \quad x \ll 0  \tag{39}\\
& \int j_{\bar{\psi}_{n-}}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} A^{\prime}=-\frac{q v_{n-}}{L}\left\{1-\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R}\right|^{2}\right\} \quad x \gg L_{s}  \tag{40}\\
& \int j_{\bar{\psi}_{n-}}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} A^{\prime}=-\frac{q v_{n-}}{L} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{R}\right|^{2} \quad x \ll 0 . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

With flux conservation $\left(1=|r|^{2}+|t|^{2}\right)$, we obtain the same result far away from each scattering region:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int j\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)_{n \pm n \pm} \mathrm{d} A^{\prime}= \pm \frac{q v_{n \pm}}{L} \sum_{s^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L(R)}\right|^{2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluation of the second integral. The second integral has the superoperator $\tilde{\Lambda}$. For elastic scattering it can be shown [17] that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ has an exact inverse with dimension of time (= energy/ $/$ ). We therefore write $\tilde{\Lambda} j(r))_{s s}=\left(1 / \tau_{s}\right) j_{s s}$ which leads to $\left(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} j(r)\right)_{s s}=\tau_{s} j_{s s}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int(\tilde{\Lambda} j(\boldsymbol{r}))_{s s} \mathrm{~d} A=\frac{1}{\tau_{s}} \int j_{s s} \mathrm{~d} A \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{s}$ is a characteristic time qualified below. We deduce the value of $\tau_{s}$ as follows. Using equations (18) and (33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{ \pm}=\int j_{n \pm n \pm} \mathrm{d} A= \pm\left(q v_{n \pm} / L\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the integral on the left-hand side of equation (43), we use the result [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tilde{\Lambda} j(r))_{n \pm n \pm}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar} \sum_{n^{\prime}} \delta\left(\epsilon_{p}-\epsilon_{n^{\prime}}\right)\left|T_{n \pm n^{\prime} \pm}\right|^{2}\left(j_{n \pm n \pm}-j_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime}}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n \pm n^{\prime} \pm}=\left\langle\varphi_{n \pm}\right| V\left|\psi_{n^{\prime} \pm}\right\rangle$ is the transition operator and $V$ the scattering potential. With $\left(\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} j(r)\right)_{s s}=\tau_{s} j_{s s}$, inspection of equation (45) shows that $\tau_{s}$ is a characteristic time associated with the tunnel-scattering process. In the following though we will refer to it simply as the characteristic time.

The Dirac $\delta$-function is rewritten in terms of the longitudinal components of the wavevector and of the two roots $k_{ \pm}$. Then replacing the sum over $k_{x}^{\prime}$ by an integral leads to
$(\tilde{\Lambda} j(\boldsymbol{r}))_{n \pm n \pm}=\frac{L}{\hbar} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left[\frac{\left|T_{n \pm n^{\prime}+}\right|^{2}}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n^{\prime}+}^{\prime}\right)\right|}\left(j_{n n}-j_{n^{\prime}+}\right)+\frac{\left|T_{n \pm n^{\prime}-}\right|^{2}}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n^{\prime}-}^{\prime}\right)\right|}\left(j_{n n}-j_{n^{\prime}-}\right)\right]$.
Using equations (44), (45), and (47) the characteristic time becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau_{n \pm}}=\frac{L}{\hbar} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left[\frac{\left|T_{n \pm n^{\prime}+}\right|^{2}}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n^{\prime}+}^{\prime}\right)\right|}\left(1 \mp \frac{\beta_{+}^{\prime}}{\beta_{n \pm}}\right)+\frac{\left|T_{n \pm n^{\prime}-}\right|^{2}}{\left|g\left(k_{n^{\prime}-}^{\prime}\right)\right|}\left(1 \pm \frac{\beta_{-}^{\prime}}{\beta_{n \pm}}\right)\right] . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (42), (44), (47), and (28) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{n \pm}}=\frac{q^{2}}{L^{2}} \frac{v_{n \pm}^{2} \tau_{n \pm}}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n \pm}\right)\right|} \sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}\right|^{2} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Evaluation of the conductance

Expression of $T_{s s^{\prime}}$. With $V=h-h_{0}$ the matrix element $T_{s s^{\prime}}=\left\langle\varphi_{s}\right| V\left|\psi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ of the transition operator $T$, between a state $\varphi_{s}$ and a scattering state $\psi_{s^{\prime}}$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s s^{\prime}}=\epsilon_{s^{\prime}}\left\langle\psi_{s} \mid \varphi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle-\left\langle\varphi_{s}\right|\left(H_{0}\left|\psi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

We modify the second term on the right-hand side so that the Hamiltonian operates on the left element. In order to do so we recall the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \varphi^{*} P_{x} \psi \mathrm{~d} v=\int P_{x}\left(\varphi^{*} \psi\right) \mathrm{d} v+\int\left(P_{x}^{*} \varphi^{*}\right) \psi \mathrm{d} v \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

With that we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \varphi^{*} P_{x}\left(P_{x} \psi\right) \mathrm{d} v=\int\left(P^{2} \varphi^{*}\right) \psi \mathrm{d} v-\int \frac{\hbar^{2} \partial}{\partial x}\left[\varphi^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi^{*}\right] \mathrm{d} v \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we combine these results with the Hamiltonian given by equation (11) we obtain
$\left.\left\langle\varphi_{s}\right|\left(H_{0}\left|\psi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right)=\left(\left\langle\varphi_{s}\right| H_{0} \mid\right) \psi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \int \nabla\left(\varphi^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\nabla} \psi\right) \mathrm{d} v-\frac{q B}{m} \int P_{x}\left(\varphi^{*} y \psi\right) \mathrm{d} v$.
If we combine this result with the Green theorem, we obtain
$T_{s s^{\prime}}=\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{s^{\prime}}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{s} \mid \psi_{s^{\prime}}\right\rangle+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \int_{A} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{A}\left(\varphi_{s}^{*} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\nabla} \psi_{s^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{q B}{m} \int P_{x}\left(\varphi_{s}^{*} y \psi_{s^{\prime}}\right) \mathrm{d} v$.
The first term is zero if the energies are the same. If so, the remaining terms can be simplified.
The result can be written compactly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s s^{\prime}}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \int_{A} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \varphi_{s}^{*}(\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}) \psi_{s^{\prime}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if we write it in terms of the normalized flux, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s s^{\prime}}=\frac{\sqrt{v_{s} v_{s^{\prime}}}}{L} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \int_{A} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} \bar{\varphi}_{s}^{*}(\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}) \bar{\psi}_{s^{\prime}} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T_{s s^{\prime}}$ in terms of transmission and reflection coefficients. To evaluate the term $T_{n \pm n^{\prime}+}$, we use equations (29) and (31) together with equations (18) and (19). For $x \gg L_{s}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+n^{\prime}+}=\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{L} \sqrt{v_{n+} v_{n^{\prime}+}} t_{n^{\prime} n}^{L} \quad T_{n-n^{\prime}+}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \ll 0$ the results are

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+n^{\prime}+}=\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{L} \sqrt{v_{n+} v_{n^{\prime}+}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}} \quad T_{n-n^{\prime}+}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{L} \sqrt{v_{n-} v_{n^{\prime}+}} r_{n^{\prime} n}^{L} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the term $T_{n \pm n^{\prime}-}$ we use equations (30) and (32) together with equations (18) and (19). For $x \gg L_{s}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+n^{\prime}-}=\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{L} \sqrt{v_{n+} v_{n^{\prime}-}} r_{n^{\prime} n}^{R} \quad T_{n-n^{\prime}-}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{L} \sqrt{v_{n-} v_{n^{\prime}-}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x \ll 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n-n^{\prime}-}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} \hbar}{L} \sqrt{v_{n-} v_{n^{\prime}-}} t_{n^{\prime} n}^{R} \quad T_{n+n^{\prime}-}=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Characteristic time in terms of transmission and reflection coefficients. With the form of $T$ and the characteristic time given by equation (47), the results for the various asymptotic regions are as follows. For $x \gg L_{s}$ we have $1 / \tau_{n-}=0$ and $1 / \tau_{n+} \neq 0$. For $x \ll 0$ the results are $1 / \tau_{n+}=0$ and $1 / \tau_{n-} \neq 0$. These nonzero results are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau_{n \pm}}=\frac{\hbar}{L} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left[v_{n \pm} v_{n^{\prime}+}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L(R)}\right|^{2}\left(1-b_{ \pm}\right) /\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n^{\prime}+}^{\prime}\right)\right|+v_{n \pm} v_{n^{\prime}-}\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R(L)}\right|^{2}\left(1+b_{ \pm}\right) /\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n^{\prime}-}^{\prime}\right)\right|\right] \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b_{ \pm}=\beta_{n^{\prime} \pm} / \beta_{n}$ and $+(-)$ corresponds to $t^{L}, r^{R}\left(t^{R}, r^{L}\right)$. This is simplified by noticing that $g^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{k})=\vec{\nabla}_{k} \epsilon(\boldsymbol{k})=\hbar \boldsymbol{v}$ gives $\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n \pm}\right)\right|=\hbar v_{n \pm}$. Then equation (60) takes the simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\tau_{n \pm}}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left[v_{n \pm}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L(R)}\right|^{2}\left(1-b_{ \pm}\right)+v_{n \pm}\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R(L)}\right|^{2}\left(1+b_{ \pm}\right)\right] \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

We emphasize the importance of this result. To our knowledge, with the exception of [13] for $B=0$, the transmission and reflection coefficients have not been associated with actual scattering time in the literature. Here, through a master equation approach we have an explicit result, for finite $B$, relating these coefficients to the characteristic time.

Expression for the conductance. Using equations (48), (61), and (44) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{n \pm}}=\frac{q^{2} v_{n \pm}}{L\left|g^{\prime}\left(k_{n \pm}\right)\right|} \frac{\sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{n^{\prime}} X\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)=\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L(R)}\right|^{2}\left(1-v_{n^{\prime}+} / v_{n \pm}\right)+\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R(L)}\right|^{2}\left(1+v_{n^{\prime}-} / v_{n \pm}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

With current conservation $\sum_{n^{\prime}}\left(\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L(R)}\right|^{2}+\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R(L)}\right|^{2}\right)=1$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{n \pm}}=\frac{q^{2}}{L} \sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L(R)}\right|^{2} /\left[1+\sum_{n^{\prime}}^{\epsilon_{p}} Y_{ \pm}^{R L}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{ \pm}^{R L}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)=\left(\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}^{R}\right|^{2} v_{n^{\prime}-}-\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L}\right|^{2} v_{n^{\prime}+}\right) / v_{n \pm} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (27) and (32) give the conductance as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)=\frac{q^{2}}{h} \sum_{n}^{\epsilon_{p}}\left[\frac{\sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{L}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{n^{\prime}} Y_{+}^{R L}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}^{R}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{n^{\prime}} Y_{-}^{L R}\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)}\right] . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This new conductance expression is more general than the two-terminal expressions of the literature. This can be easily appreciated by realizing that it has the following interesting feature
(i) It is simplified considerably if we neglect the Hall field; then $v_{n+}=v_{n-}$ and the two terms in the square brackets become identical. The same holds in the absence of the magnetic field. Actually, for $B=0$ equation (66) takes the form of equation (4.184) of [13]. The only difference is that in equation (66) the transverse channels and confining potential are explicitly specified whereas in [13] they are not.
(ii) For uncoupled channels, i.e., for $r_{n n^{\prime}}=r_{n n^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}$ and $t_{n n^{\prime}}=t_{n n^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}$, equation (64) gives the multichannel version of Landauer's result, for identical terminals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)=\frac{q^{2}}{h} \sum_{n}^{\epsilon_{p}} \frac{\left|t_{n n}\right|^{2}}{\left|r_{n n}\right|^{2}}=\frac{q^{2}}{h} \sum_{n}^{\epsilon_{p}} \frac{T_{n}}{R_{n}} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) It is interesting to contrast the $B=0$ limit of equation (66) with the $B=0$ result of [13]. In this case $v_{n+}=v_{n-}$. Proceeding then as in [13], we may replace $1 / v_{n^{\prime}+} \propto \tau_{n}$ by $(1 / N) \sum_{n^{\prime}}\left(1 / v_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ and make an average over the channels, to obtain the expression of [3], i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\epsilon_{p}\right)=\frac{q^{2}}{h} \sum_{n} T_{n} \frac{\sum_{n}\left(2 / v_{n}\right)}{\sum_{n}\left(1+R_{n}-T_{n}\right) / v_{n}} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we remember that $R_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|r_{n n^{\prime}}\right|^{2}$ and $T_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}}\left|t_{n n^{\prime}}\right|^{2}$. Despite its approximate character, the procedure indicates that equation (66) is more general than equation (68) even for $B=0$.
(iv) For $R \approx 1$ and $T \ll 1$, equation (66) gives the standard [3,9] result $G(\epsilon)=\left(q^{2} / h\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left\{t t^{*}\right\}$ if we assume a weak [3] channel coupling such that $v_{n^{\prime}} \ll v_{n}, n^{\prime}<n$.
(v) When the strength of the scattering is vanishingly small, we have $r \approx 0$ and $t \approx 1$. As expected, in this case for identical terminals and $v_{n^{\prime}} \ll v_{n}, n^{\prime}<n$, the conductance diverges, as realized in a four-terminal (two leads, two probes) experiment.
(vi) Finally, we notice that the expression contains the Hall field, through the factors $u_{n \pm}$, cf equation (21) which accounts for the electron-electron interaction in the Hartree sense [14].

### 3.3. Conductance in tilted magnetic fields

Equation (66) is valid for a perpendicular magnetic field $\vec{B}$ parallel to the $z$-axis. It is of interest to have an expression valid for tilted fields $\vec{B}$ but the solution of Schrödinger's equation becomes very unwieldy and, to our knowledge, can be obtained only numerically when $\vec{B}$ points in an arbitrary direction. However, in one particular case a simple analytic solution exists and leads to a generalization of the conductance (66). Below we briefly derive the relevant expression since we are not aware of any pertinent result in the literature. This is the case when the field $\vec{B}$ is in the ( $x, z$ ) plane and has components $B_{\|}$along $\hat{x}$ and $B_{\perp}$ along $\hat{z}$. The situation is described by the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}=B_{\perp} y \hat{x}+B_{\|} y \hat{z}$. Assuming an eigenfunction $\psi(x, y)=f(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k_{x} x}$ the Hamiltonian gives
$\left[\frac{\hbar^{2} k_{x}^{2}}{2 m}-y\left(\omega_{\perp} \hbar k_{x}+q E_{\perp}\right)+\frac{1}{2} m\left(\omega_{B}^{2}+\Omega^{2}\right) y^{2}\right] f(y)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} f^{\prime \prime}(y)=\epsilon f(y)$.
With $\xi=\left(\omega_{\perp} \hbar k_{x}+q E_{\perp}\right) / m \omega_{B}^{2}$ this equation is transformed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m \tilde{\omega}_{T}^{2}}{2}\left(y-\frac{\omega_{B}^{2}}{\tilde{\omega}_{T}^{2}} \xi\right)^{2} f(y)-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} f^{\prime \prime}(y)=E f(y) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{T}^{2}=\omega_{B}^{2}+\Omega^{2}$ and $\omega_{B}^{2}=\omega_{\|}^{2}+\omega_{\perp}^{2}$. This is again an equation for a (displaced) harmonic oscillator. The corresponding eigenvalues $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon\left(k_{x}, n\right)$ are
$\epsilon\left(k_{x}, n\right)=(n+1 / 2) \hbar \tilde{\omega}_{T}-\left[\hbar^{2} k_{x}^{2}\left(\Omega^{2}+\omega_{\|}^{2}\right)-2 q E_{\perp} \omega_{\perp} \hbar k_{x}-q^{2} E_{\perp}^{2}\right] / 2 m \omega_{T}^{2}$.
As can be seen, these results are similar to those obtained when the field $\vec{B}$ is parallel to the $z$-axis. In fact, equation (13) can be obtained from equation (71) by setting $B_{\|}=0$ which entails $\omega_{B}^{2}=\omega_{c}^{2}$. All the analysis of section 3 can be repeated and the result for the conductance has the same form. The only things that change in equation (66) are the roots $k_{n \pm}$-cf equation (26); they now involve equation (71) rather than equation (13). As an aside, we note that in a longitudinal magnetic field, with $B_{\perp}=0$, we obtain formally the same result as in the absence of the magnetic field since the carriers are free in a parallel magnetic field.

## 4. Discussion

The expression for the conductance, given by equation (66), is very general and not limited to two identical terminals. We can interchange the indices $R$ and $T$ without changing the expression. This means that the conductance does not depend on the direction of the current. This and the various limits that this expression reproduces show its generality.

This result for the conductance, valid when a magnetic field is present, was not anticipated in [13]. Since, at first sight, in a magnetic field the eigenfunctions along two opposite directions would be separated by a distance $\propto 2 k_{x}$, it was thought that the expression would change dramatically. As shown though, incorporating the magnetic field directly in the one-electron Hamiltonian, the (parabolic) confining potential, and the Hall field, lead to an eigenfunction suitable for the calculations. This showed explicitly the cancellation in the product of the velocity with the quasi-one-dimensional density of states in the current carried by a channel or mode and simplified the final result. In addition, it allowed the consideration of tilted magnetic fields (in the ( $x, z$ ) plane) and of the electron-electron interaction in a mean-field or Hartree sense since the Hall field was taken as constant across the width, whereas it is not, since its value near the edges is different to that in the main part of the sample [14]. The last two aspects, limit (ii) of equations (66), and (61) for the characteristic time, are missing from other expressions for the magnetoconductance [3,4,9,18]. The most common general formula [9] reads $G_{m n}(\epsilon)=\left(q^{2} / h\right) \sum_{a c}^{\epsilon}\left|t_{m n, a c}\right|^{2}$, where $t_{m n, a c}$ is the coefficient of transmission between channel $a$ in terminal $m$ and channel $c$ in terminal $n$. This formula applies to a multiterminal configuration and two-probe measurements [7], whereas ours applies to a two-terminal configuration.
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